



CANADIAN REFORMED THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY

Sermon Evaluation - Scripture Passages

This form is to be completed by one or more elders. Your feedback will be treated confidentially and be seen by only two people: the professor of Homiletics (Dr. A.J. de Visser) and the student himself. Please answer the following questions.

Name of Congregation:

Date of Worship Service:

Time of Service:

Name of Student:

Text Passage:

1. Please evaluate the **EXEGETICAL ASPECTS** of the sermon.

| | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree |
|---|-------------------|----------|---------|-------|----------------|
| The text passage was explained adequately, within its context. | | | | | |
| The redemptive-historical context (connections between OT and NT) was taken into account. | | | | | |
| Important aspects of the text were explained without getting lost in too much detail. | | | | | |
| The main message flowed from the text passage. | | | | | |

If you have additional comments on the exegetical aspects of the sermon, please comment here.

2. Please evaluate the **DOCTRINAL SOUNDNESS** of the sermon.

| | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree |
|---|-------------------|----------|---------|-------|----------------|
| The message was theologically sound and within the boundaries of Reformed doctrine. | | | | | |
| The message highlighted God's work for our salvation (theocentric). | | | | | |
| The message was appropriately (not artificially) Christological. | | | | | |
| The message was appropriately (not artificially) covenantal. | | | | | |

If you have additional comments on the doctrinal soundness of the sermon, please comment here.

3. Please evaluate the **APPLICATION** in the sermon.

| | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree |
|---|-------------------|----------|---------|-------|----------------|
| The message was applicable and edifying. | | | | | |
| The application was drawn from the text passage. | | | | | |
| The application was in tune with the life of the hearers. | | | | | |

If you have additional comments on the application in the sermon, please comment here.

4. Please evaluate the **STRUCTURE AND COHERENCE** of the sermon.

| | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree |
|--|-------------------|----------|---------|-------|----------------|
| The message was well-structured, making it easy to follow. | | | | | |
| The theme and points summarized the message well. | | | | | |
| The points were balanced, parallel and memorable. | | | | | |
| The introduction was effective. | | | | | |
| There was good procession of thought while maintaining cohesion. | | | | | |

If you have additional comments on the structure and coherence of the sermon, please comment here.

5. Please evaluate the **STYLE AND LANGUAGE** of the sermon.

| | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree |
|--|-------------------|----------|---------|-------|----------------|
| There was effective variety in sentence structure. | | | | | |
| The language was fresh and contemporary, yet appropriate to text and occasion. | | | | | |
| Images (metaphors, analogies, etc.) were used, and used effectively. | | | | | |
| Illustrations and/or narratives were used, and used effectively. | | | | | |

If you have additional comments on the style and language of the sermon, please comment here.

6. Please evaluate the **DELIVERY** of the sermon.

| | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree |
|---|-------------------|----------|---------|-------|----------------|
| The delivery served to get the message across. | | | | | |
| The student connected well with the audience. | | | | | |
| Volume, tempo, variety in pitch, gestures were appropriate. | | | | | |

If you have additional comments on the delivery of the sermon, please comment here.

7. Please evaluate the **LITURGICAL ASPECTS**.

| | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree |
|---|-------------------|----------|---------|-------|----------------|
| Scripture readings were done with appropriate clarity and expression. | | | | | |
| Psalms and hymns were well-chosen and appropriately placed in the liturgy. | | | | | |
| The student led the congregation well in the ministry of prayer. | | | | | |
| Overall, the student led the worship service in a way that was reverent and edifying. | | | | | |

If you have additional comments on the liturgical aspects of the service, please comment here.

8. Please evaluate the following **CONCLUDING ASPECTS**.

| | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------|---------|-------|----------------|
| The gospel was preached. | | | | | |
| The congregation was edified. | | | | | |
| The student was NOT boring. | | | | | |

Please share any final thoughts or recommendations.

How many brothers were involved in putting together this evaluation?

Feel free (but not obliged) to provide your name.
